

1 Nathan R. Ring
NV State Bar No. 12078
2 **STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC**
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 208
3 Las Vegas, NV 89102
Telephone: (725) 235-9750
4 lasvegas@stranchlaw.com

5 Sabita J. Soneji (pro hac vice)
TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP
6 1970 Broadway, Suite 1070
Oakland, CA 94612
7 Phone: (510) 254-6808
ssoneji@tzlegal.com

8 F. Peter Silva, II (pro hac vice)
TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP
9 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 1010
Washington, D.C. 20006
10 Phone: (202) 973-0900
psilva@tzlegal.com
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*

12 Todd L. Bice
13 **PISANELLI BICE PLLC**
400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
14 Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone: 702.214.2100
15 tlb@pisanellibice.com

16 Angela C. Agrusa (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
17 2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
18 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735
Telephone: 310.595.3000
19 angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com
Attorneys for Defendant
20 *MGM Resorts International*

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
22 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

23 RONALD G. RUNDELL, individually and on
24 behalf of all others similarly situated,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL,

28 Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-01698

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
TO FILE DEFENDANT'S
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT
(FOURTH REQUEST)**

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff Ronald G. Rundell and Defendant MGM Resorts International (“MGM”) (collectively, the “Parties”) respectfully stipulate MGM’s time to respond to the Complaint be extended from the current deadline of March 11, 2024 to and including April 10, 2024. This is the fourth stipulation for an extension of time to file MGM’s responsive pleading. The court previously granted an extension on January 10, 2024. ECF No. 16.

Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the “Related Actions”). *See Kirwan v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01481; *Owens v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01480; *Lackey v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01549; *Zussman v. Vici Properties Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-01537; *Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01577; *Pircio v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01550; *Bezak v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01719; *Albrigo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-1981; *Zari v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01777; *Manson v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01826; *Sloan v. Vici Properties Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-02042; *Mejia v. MGM Resorts, Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-00081; *Righetti v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-2064.

On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs’ counsel in six consolidated putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the “2019 Actions”) filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1, notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. *In re: MGM Resorts Int’l Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188. Plaintiffs in this action have opposed this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the Related Actions.

The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged

1 in preliminary information exchange that may avoid the need for certain motion practice,
2 which would conserve judicial resources. As such, additional time is required to permit time
3 to meet and confer with the various parties to the Related Actions.

4 The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize the joint
5 motion for consolidation and conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is
6 in its infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.

7 **WHEREAS** the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10,
8 2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

9 Dated: March 7, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

10 By: /s/ Sabita J. Soneji
11 Nathan R. Ring
12 NV State Bar No. 12078
13 **STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC**
14 3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 208
15 Las Vegas, NV 89102
16 lasvegas@stranchlaw.com

17 Sabita J. Soneji (pro hac vice)
18 **TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP**
19 1970 Broadway, Suite 1070
20 Oakland, CA 94612
21 ssoneji@tzlegal.com

22 F. Peter Silva, II (pro hac vice)
23 **TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP**
24 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 1010
25 Washington, D.C. 20006
26 psilva@tzlegal.com

27 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*

28 and

By: /s/ Todd L. Bice

Todd L. Bice

PISANELLI BICE, PLLC

400 S. 7th Street Suite 300

Las Vegas, NV 89101

tlb@pisanellibice.com

Angela C. Agrusa

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

2000 Avenue of the Stars

Suite 400 North Tower

Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735

Angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

Attorneys for Defendant

MGM Resorts International

IT IS SO ORDERED:



THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 3/7/2024